



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,750	05/28/2002	Gul Joong Yoon	8513-225(SGG-1617-US)	3502

7590

07/25/2005

Frank Chau
F Chau & Associates
1900 Hempstead Turnpike Suite 501
East Meadow, NY 11554

EXAMINER

EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3762

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1, “using a cylindrical cam” is vague since it can not be determined if the assist device is positively claiming the cam, functionally reciting the cam, or if the cam is part of the device. The cam is not connected to any other element in claim 1, but claim 2 uses a cam and claim 5 has a guiding piece fixed to the cam. The examiner has interpreted the claim as not containing the cam since it is not connected to any other element in claim 1 and the claim should be amended accordingly. It is suggested to delete “using a cylindrical cam” in line 1 (and in the dependent claim preambles). In addition, “a sac” is vague since it can not be determined is this is the same blood sac or a different sac (it is suggested to use “the sac”). In addition, “itself” is vague since the word does not specifically state what element “itself” refers to.

In claim 2, “its” is vague. In addition, “a cylindrical cam” is vague since it can not be determined if this is the same cam listed in claim 1, line 1, or a different cam.

In claim 5, “the rear cover” lacks antecedent basis. In addition, “the cylindrical cam...a linearly reciprocating motion” is vague since claim 1 has not positively recited the cam or its linearly reciprocating motion. It is suggested to depend claim 5 from claim 2 since claim 2 uses the cover and cam, and cancel claim 6 since it is nearly identical to claim 5.

In claims 7 and 8, "the outer circumferential surface" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chareire et al (4822356). Chareire shows sac, 26 and 27, pusher plate, 24/25, with guiding axle, both 44, and guiding piece as lower part of 44 connected with 40.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyata (5041132). Miyata shows chamber 7, 8, 10, sac, 1 and 2, and pusher plate, 3, with guiding axle, 20, and guiding piece as 51, 52, or 30, or vertical section of element 20.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 6, and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 7 is too vague to determine if it is allowable since it depends from claim 5.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


George R Evanisko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762

7/21/5

GRE
July 21, 2005